arXiv:1210.6517v1 [math.LO] 20 Oct 2012

A new approach to soft set through applications of cubic set

Saleem Abdullah, Imran Khan, and Muhammad Aslam

Abstract. The notions of (internal, external) cubic soft sets, P-(R-)order,P-(R-)union, P-(R-)intersection and P-OR, R-OR, P-AND and R-AND are introduced, and related properties are investigated. We show that the P-union and the P-intersection of internal cubic soft sets are also internal cubic soft sets. We provide conditions for the P-union (resp. P-intersection) of two external cubic soft sets to be an internal cubic soft set. We give conditions for the P-union (resp. R-union and R-intersection) of two external cubic soft sets to be an external cubic soft set. We consider conditions for the R-intersection (resp.P-intersection) of two cubic sof sets to be both an external cubic soft set and an internal cubic soft set.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to deal with many complicated problems in the fields of engineering, social science, economics, medical science etc involving uncertainties, classical methods are found to be inadequate in recent times. Molodstov [**7**] pointed out that the important existing theories viz. probability theory, fuzzy set theory, intuitionistic fuzzy set theory, rough set theory etc, which can be considered as mathematical tools for dealing with uncertainties, have their own difficulties. He further pointed out that the reason for these difficulties is, possibly, the inadequacy of the parameterization tool of the theory. In 1999 he proposed a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties which is free of the difficulties present in these theories. He introduced the novel concept of soft sets and established the fundamental results of the new theory. He also showed how soft set theory is free from parameterization inadequacy syndrome of fuzzy set theory, rough set theory and probability theory etc. Many of the established paradigms appear as special cases of soft set theory. In 2003, P. K .Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy [**6**] studied the theory of soft sets initiated by Molodstov. They defined equality of two soft sets, subset and super set of a soft set, complement of a soft set, null soft set, and absolute soft set with examples. Soft binary operations like AND, OR and also the operations of union, intersection were also defined. Pei and Miao [**8**] and Chen et al. [**3**] improved the work of Maji et al. [**?, 6**]. In 2009, M. Irfan Ali et al., [**2**] gave some new notions such as the restricted intersection, the restricted union, the restricted difference and the extended intersection of two soft sets along with a new notion of complement of a soft set. Sezgin and Atagün [**23**] studied on soft set operations. Babitha and

Key words and phrases. Fuzzy set, Cubic set, Soft set, Cubic soft, Internal cubic soft set, External cubic soft set.

Sunil introduced soft set relations and functions [**25**]. Majumdar and Samanta, worked on soft mappings [**26**] were proposed and many related concepts were discussed too. Moreover, the theory of soft sets has gone through remarkably rapid strides with a wide-ranging applications especially in soft decision making as in the following studies: [**27, 28**] and some other fields such as [**29, 30, 31, 32**]. Since its inception, it has received much attention in the mean of algebraic structures. In [**9**], Aktaṣ and Çağman applied the concept of soft set to groups theory and introduced soft group of a group. Feng et.al, studied soft semirings by using soft set [**10**]. Recently, Acar studied soft rings [**11**]. Jun et. al, applied the concept of soft set to BCK/BCI-algebras [**12, 13, 14**]. Sezgin and Atagün initiated th concept of normalistic soft groups [**15**]. Zhan et.al, worked on soft ideal of BL-algebras [**16**]. In [**17**], Kazancı et. al, used the concept of soft set to BCH-algebras. Sezgin et. al, studied soft nearrings [**18**]. Atagün and Sezgin [**19**] defined the concepts of soft subrings and ideals of a ring, soft subfields of a field and soft submodules of a module and studied their related properties with respect to soft set operations also union soft substructues of nearrings and nearring modules are studied in [**20**]. Çağman et al. defined two new types of group actions on a soft set, called group SI-action and group SU-action [**21**], which are based on the inclusion relation and the intersection of sets and union of sets, respectively.Recently, Sezgin described a new view of ring through soft intersection properties and discussed some fundamental results [**24**]. The concept of soft equality and some related properties are derived by Qin and Hong

In recent times, researches have contributed a lot towards fuzzification of soft set theory. Maji et al. [**5**] introduced some properties of fuzzy soft set. These results were further revised and improved by Ahmad and Kharal [**1**]. This theory has proven useful in many different fields such as decision making [**33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39**]. In [**4**],Y. B. Jun et al., introduced a new notion, called a (internal, external) cubic set by using a fuzzy set and an interval-valued fuzzy set, and investigate several properties. They also defined P-union, P-intersection, R-union and R-intersection of cubic sets, and investigate several related properties.

In this paper, using a fuzzy set and an interval-valued fuzzy set, we introduce new notions, is called (internal, external) cubic soft sets, P-(R-)order,P-(R-)union, P-(R-)intersection and P-OR, R-OR, P-AND and R-AND are introduced, and related properties are investigated. We show that the P-union and the P-intersection of internal cubic soft sets are also internal cubic soft sets. We provide conditions for the P-union (resp. P-intersection) of two external cubic soft sets to be an internal cubic soft set. We give conditions for the P-union (resp. R-union and R-intersection) of two external cubic soft sets to be an external cubic soft set. We consider conditions for the R-intersection (resp.P-intersection) of two cubic sof sets to be both an external cubic soft set and an internal cubic soft set.

2. Preliminaries

We introduce below necessary notions and present a few auxiliary results that will be used throughout the paper. Recall first the basic terms and definitions from the cubic set theory.

A map $\lambda : X \to [0, 1]$ is called a fuzzy subset of X. For any two fuzzy subsets λ and μ of X, $\lambda \leq \mu$ means that, for all $x \in X$, $\lambda(x) \leq \mu(x)$. The symbols $\lambda \wedge \mu$, and $\lambda \vee \mu$ will mean the following fuzzy subsets of X

$$(\lambda \wedge \mu)(x) = \lambda(x) \wedge \mu(x)$$
$$(\lambda \vee \mu)(x) = \lambda(x) \vee \mu(x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let X be a non-empty set. A function $A : X \to [I]$ is called an interval-valued fuzzy set (shortly, an IVF set) in X. Let $[I]^X$ stands for the set of all IVF sets in X. For every $A \in [I]^X$ and $x \in X$, $A(x) = [A^-(x), A^+(x)]$ is called the degree of membership of an element x to A, where $A^- : X \to I$ and $A^+ : X \to I$ are fuzzy sets in X which are called a lower fuzzy set and an upper fuzzy set in X, respectively. For simplicity, we denote $A = [A^-, A^+]$. For every $A, B \in [I]^X$, we define $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $A(x) \preceq B(x)$ for all $x \in X$. [**41**]

Definition 1. [**41**]*Let $A = [A^-, A^+]$, and $B = [B^-, B^+]$ be two interval valued fuzzy sets in X (briefly, IVF sets). Then, we define* $r\min\{A(x), B(x)\} = [\min\{A^-(x), B^-(x)\}, \min\{A^+(x), B^+(x)\}]$,
$r\max\{A(x), B(x)\} = [\max\{A^-(x), B^-(x)\}, \max\{A^+(x), B^+(x)\}]$.

Definition 2. [**41**]*Let $A = [A^-, A^+]$, and $B = [B^-, B^+]$ be two interval valued fuzzy sets in X (briefly, IVF sets). Then, we define "$\preceq$", and "$\succeq$", as $A(x) \preceq B(x)$ if and only if $A^-(x) \leq B^-(x)$ and $A^+(x) \leq B^+(x)$. Similarly, $A(x) \succeq B(x)$ if and only if $A^-(x) \geq B^-(x)$ and $A^+(x) \geq B^+(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Definition 3. [**4**]*Let X be a non-empty set. By a cubic set in X, we mean a structure $\mathcal{A} = \{< x, A(x), \lambda(x) >: x \in X\}$ in which A is an interval valued fuzzy sets in X (briefly, IVF set) and λ is a fuzzy set in X. A cubic set $\mathcal{A} = \{< x, A(x), \lambda(x) >: x \in X\}$ is simply denoted by $\mathcal{A} =< A, \lambda >$. The collection of all cubic sets in X is denoted by $CP(X)$. A cubic set $\mathcal{A} =< A, \lambda >$ in which for all $x \in X$ $A(x) = 0$ and $\lambda(x) = 1$ (respectively $A(x) = 1$ and $\lambda(x) = 0$) for all $x \in X$ is denoted by $\ddot{0}$ (respectively $\ddot{1}$). A cubic set $\mathcal{B} =< B, \mu >$, in which $B(x) = 0$ and $\mu(x) = 0$ (respectively $B(x) = 1$ and $\mu(x) = 1$) is denoted by $\overset{\wedge}{0}$ (respectively $\overset{\wedge}{1}$).*

From now on, X refers to an initial universe, E is a set of parameters, $P(X)$ is the power set of X and $A, B, C \subset E$. A soft set λ_A over X is a set defined by

$$\lambda_A : E \longrightarrow P(X) \text{ such that } \lambda_A(x) = \emptyset \text{ if } x \notin A.$$

Here λ_A is also called an approximate function. A soft set over X can be represented by the set of ordered pairs

$$\lambda_A = \{(x, \lambda_A(x)) : x \in E, \lambda_A(x) \in P(X)\}$$

It is clear to see that a soft set is a parametrized family of subsets of the set X. Note that the set of all soft sets over X will be denoted by $S(X)$ [**7**]. Let A be a set of parameters. $\{\neg a : a \in A\}$ is called the Not-set of A denoted by $\neg A$, where $\neg a$ means not a for each $a \in A$. It is necessary to assume that the Not-set of each set of parameters is a subset of E, where E is the set of parameters. For further notions and concepts of fuzzy set, Interval valued fuzzy set cubic set and soft set see [**2, 4, 7, 9, 23, 40, 41**].

3. CUBIC SOFT SETS

In this section we apply cubic set to soft set. We define a new extension of fuzzy soft by using cubic set. We defined two types of cubic soft set and related properties.

DEFINITION 4. *A pair* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *is called cubic soft set over* X *if and only if* $\widetilde{F}$ *is a mapping of* $I(\subseteq E)$ *into the set of all cubic sets in* X*, i.e.,* $\widetilde{F} : I \longrightarrow CP(X)$ *where* I *is any subset of parameter's set* E*,* X *is an initial universe set and* $CP(X)$ *is the collection of all cubic sets in* X*. Here we denote and define cubic soft set as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \mathcal{A}_i = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *in this set corresponding to each* $e_i \in I$*,* $\mathcal{A}_i = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}$ *is a cubic set in* X *in which* $A_{e_i}(x)$ *is an interval valued fuzzy set (briefly, an IVF set) and* $\lambda_{e_i}(x)$ *is a fuzzy set.*

EXAMPLE 1. *Let* $X = \{p_1,\ p_2,\ p_3,\ p_4\}$ *be the set of cricket players under consideration and* $E = \{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ e_4\}$ *be the set of parameters, where* $e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ e_4$ *represent fitness, good current form, good domestic cricket record and good moral character, respectively. Let* $I = \{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3\} \subseteq E$*. Then, the cubic soft set* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \mathcal{A}_i\ = \left\{< p,\ A_{e_i}\ (p),\ \lambda_{e_i}\ (p) >:\ p \in X\right\}\ e_i \in I, i = 1, 2, 3.\right\}$ *in* X *is*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) = \mathcal{A}_1 =$ $< A_{e_1}(p),$	$\lambda_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_2) = \mathcal{A}_2 =$ $< A_{e_2}(p),$	$\lambda_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_3) = \mathcal{A}_3 =$ $< A_{e_3}(p),$	$\lambda_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.3, 0.7]$,	0.5	$[0.6, 1]$,	0.3	$[0.3, 0.7]$,	0.5
p_2	$[0.4, 0.8]$,	0.2	$[0.1, 0.6]$,	0.6	$[0.5, 0.9]$,	0.9
p_3	$[0.1, 0.6]$,	0.6	$[0.3, 0.7]$,	1	$[0.4, 8]$,	0.7
p_4	$[0.5, 0.9]$,	0.5	$[0.2, 0.6]$,	0.7	$[0.6, 1]$,	0.9

3.1. Types of cubic soft sets.

3.1.1. *Internal cubic soft set (ICSS).*

DEFINITION 5. *A cubic soft set* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *is said to be an internal cubic soft set (ICSS), if for all* $e_i \in I \subseteq E$ *(*E *is set of parameters)* $F(e_i) = \mathcal{A}_i$ *is so that* $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ *for all* $e_i \in I$ *and for all* $x \in X$*.*

EXAMPLE 2. *Let* $X = \{p_1,\ p_2,\ p_3,\ p_4\}$ *be the set of cricket players under consideration and* $E = \{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ e_4\}$ *be the set of parameters, where* $e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ e_4$ *represent fitness, good current form, good domestic cricket record and good moral character, respectively. Let* $I = \{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3\} \subseteq E$*. Then, the cubic soft set* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \mathcal{A}_i\ = \left\{< p,\ A_{e_i}\ (p),\ \lambda_{e_i}\ (p) >:\ p \in X\right\}\ e_i \in I,\ i = 1, 2, 3.\right\}$ *in* X *is*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) = \mathcal{A}_1 =$ $< A_{e_1}(p),$	$\lambda_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_2) = \mathcal{A}_2 =$ $< A_{e_2}(p),$	$\lambda_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_3) = \mathcal{A}_3 =$ $< A_{e_3}(p),$	$\lambda_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.2, 0.5]$,	0.35	$[0.1, 0.4]$,	0.3	$[0.4, 0.7]$,	0.5
p_2	$[0.5, 0.8]$,	0.65	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.5	$[0.2, 0.5]$,	0.3
p_3	$[0.4, 0.7]$,	0.6	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.5	$[0.5, 0.8]$,	0.6
p_4	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.3	$[0.7, 1]$,	0.85	$[0.6, 0.9]$,	0.75

an internal cubic soft set (ICSS) in X*.*

3.1.2. *External Cubic Soft sets.*

DEFINITION 6. *A cubic soft set* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *is said to be an external cubic soft set if for all* $e_i \in I \subseteq E$ *(*E *is set of parameters),* $F(e_i) = \mathcal{A}_i$ *is so* $\lambda_{e_i}\ (x) \notin (A^-_{e_i}(x), A^+_{e_i}(x))$ *for all* $e_i \in I$ *and for all* $x \in X$*.*

EXAMPLE 3. *Let* $X = \{p_1,\ p_2,\ p_3,\ p_4\}$ *be the set of cricket players under consideration and* $E = \{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ e_4\}$ *be the set of parameters, where* $e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ e_4$ *represent fitness, good current form, good domestic cricket record and good moral character, respectively. Let* $I = \{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3\} \subseteq E$. *Then, the cubic soft set* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \mathcal{A}_i\ = \left\{< p,\ A_{e_i}\ (p),\ \lambda_{e_i}\ (p) >:\ p \in X\right\}\ e_i \in I,\ i = 1, 2, 3.\right\}$ *in* X *is*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) = \mathcal{A}_1 =$ $< A_{e_1}(p),$	$\lambda_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_2) = \mathcal{A}_2 =$ $< A_{e_2}(p),$	$\lambda_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_3) = \mathcal{A}_3 =$ $< A_{e_3}(p),$	$\lambda_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.1, 0.5]$,	0.1	$[0.2, 0.6]$,	0.6	$[0.5, 0.9]$,	0.4
p_2	$[0.2, 0.6]$,	0.6	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.75	$[0.6, 1]$,	1
p_3	$[0.3, 0.7]$,	0.2	$[0.4, 0.8]$,	0.9	$[0.5, 0.9]$,	0.9
p_4	$[0.5, 0.9]$,	0.4	$[0.2, 0.6]$,	0.65	$[0.4, 0.8]$,	0.4

an external cubic soft set (ECSS) in X.

THEOREM 1. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *be a cubic soft set in* X *which is not an ECSS. Then, there exists at least one* $e_i \in I$ *for which there exists some* $x \in X$ *such that* $\lambda_{e_i}\ (x) \in (A^-_{e_i}(x), A^+_{e_i}(x))$.

PROOF. By definition of an external cubic soft set (ECSS) we know that $\lambda_{e_i}\ (x) \notin (A^-_{e_i}(x), A^+_{e_i}(x))$ for all $x \in X$ corresponding to each $e_i \in I$. But given that $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ is not an ECSS so for at least one $e_i \in I$ there exists some $x \in X$ such that $\lambda_{e_i}(x) \in (A^-_{e_i}(x), A^+_{e_i}(x))$. Hence the result. □

THEOREM 2. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *be a cubic soft set in* X. *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ *is both an ICSS and ECSS in* X, *then for all* $x \in X$ *corresponding to each* $e_i \in I$, $\lambda_{e_i}(x) \in (U(A_{e_i}) \cup L(A_{e_i}))$, *where* $U(A_{e_i}) = \left\{A^+_{e_i}(x) : x \in X\right\}$ *and* $L(A_{e_i}) = \left\{A^-_{e_i}(x) : x \in X\right\}$.

PROOF. Let $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \mathcal{A}_i\ = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}\ (x),\ \lambda_{e_i}\ (x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ is both an ICSS and ECSS, then by definition of ICSS corresponding to each $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$ we have $\lambda_{e_i}\ (x) \in (A^-_{e_i}(x), A^+_{e_i}(x))$. By definition of ECSS corresponding to each $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$ we have $\lambda_{e_i}(x) \notin (A^-_{e_i}(x), A^+_{e_i}(x))$. Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ is both an ICSS and ECSS, so the only possibility is that $\lambda_{e_i}\ (x) = A^-_{e_i}(x)$ or $\lambda_{e_i}\ (x) = A^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $x \in X$ corresponding to each $e_i \in I$. Hence $\lambda_{e_i}\ (x) \in (U(A_{e_i}) \cup L(A_{e_i}))$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$. □

DEFINITION 7. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \mathcal{A}_i\ = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >: x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \mathcal{B}_i = \left\{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >: x \in X\right\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ *be two cubic soft sets in* X, I *and* J *are any subsets of* E *(set of parameters). Then we have the following*

1 $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) = \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

(a) $I = J$ *and*

(b) $\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ *for all* $e_i \in I$ *if and only if* $A_{e_i}(x) = B_{e_i}(x)$ *and* $\lambda_{e_i}(x) = \mu_{e_i}(x)$ *for all* $e_i \in I$.

2 *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *are two cubic soft sets then we denote and define P-order as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_p \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

(a) $I \subseteq J$ *and*

(b) $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \leq_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ *for all* $e_i \in I$ *if and only if* $A_{e_i}(x) \preccurlyeq B_{e_i}(x)$ *and* $\lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x)$ *for all* $x \in X$ *corresponding to each* $e_i \in I$.

3 *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *are two cubic soft sets then we denote and define R-order as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(a) $I \subseteq J$ *and*

(b) $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \leq_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ *for all* $e_i \in I$ *if and only if* $A_{e_i}(x) \preccurlyeq B_{e_i}(x)$ *and* $\lambda_{e_i}(x) \geq \mu_{e_i}(x)$ *for all* $x \in X$ *corresponding to each* $e_i \in I$.

EXAMPLE 4. *(P-order)*

Let $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *be the cubic soft sets in* X *defined as follows,*

$\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \mathcal{A}_i = \{< x,\ A_i(p),\ \lambda_i(p) >:\ p \in X\}\ \ e_i \in J, i = 1,2,3\right\}$

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) = \mathcal{A}_1 =$ $< A_{e_1}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_1}(p) >$		$\widetilde{F}(e_2) = \mathcal{A}_2 =$ $< A_{e_2}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_2}(p) >$		$\widetilde{F}(e_3) = \mathcal{A}_3 =$ $< A_{e_3}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_3}(p) >$	
p_1	$[0.2, 0.5]$,	0.7	$[0.1, 0.4]$,	0.2	$[0.4, 0.7]$,	0.5
p_2	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.5	$[0.6, 0.9]$,	0.4	$[0.6, 0.9]$,	0.7
p_3	$[0.4, 0.7]$,	0.5	$[0.7, 1]$,	0.8	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.5
p_4	$[0.5, 0.8]$,	0.6	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.5	$[0.2, 0.5]$,	0.7

and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \mathcal{B}_i = \left\{< x,\ B_{e_i}(p),\ \mu_{e_i}(p) >:\ p \in X\right\}\ \ e_i \in J, i = 1,2,3\right\}$ *is*

p	$\widetilde{G}(e_1) = \mathcal{B}_1 =$ $< B_{e_1}(p),$ $\mu_{e_1}(p) >$		$\widetilde{G}(e_2) = \mathcal{B}_2 =$ $< B_{e_2}(p),$ $\mu_{e_2}(p) >$		$\widetilde{G}(e_3) = \mathcal{B}_3 =$ $< B_{e_3}(p),$ $\mu_{e_3}(p) >$	
p_1	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.8	$[0.2, 0.5]$,	0.3	$[0.5, 0.8]$,	0.6
p_2	$[0.4, 0.7]$,	0.6	$[0.6, 0.9]$,	0.5	$[0.7, 0.9]$,	0.8
p_3	$[0.5, 0.8]$,	0.5	$[0.7, 1]$,	0.8	$[0.4, 0.7]$,	0.6
p_4	$[0.6, 0.9]$,	0.7	$[0.4, 0.7]$,	0.6	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.7

Thus clearly we have that $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_p \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$.

EXAMPLE 5. *(R-order)*

Let $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *be the cubic soft sets in* X *defined as follows,*

$\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) =$

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) = \mathcal{A}_1 =$ $< A_{e_1}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_1}(p) >$		$\widetilde{F}(e_2) = \mathcal{A}_2 =$ $< A_{e_2}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_2}(p) >$		$\widetilde{F}(e_3) = \mathcal{A}_3 =$ $< A_{e_3}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_3}(p) >$	
p_1	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.7	$[0.1, 0.4]$,	0.6	$[0.2, 0.5]$,	0.3
p_2	$[0.4, 0.7]$,	0.3	$[0.2, 0.5]$,	0.2	$[0.6, 0.9]$,	1
p_3	$[0.5, 0.8]$,	0.4	$[0.6, 0.9]$,	0.2	$[0.5, 0.8]$,	0.7
p_4	$[0.6, 0.9]$,	0.5	$[0.4, 0.7]$,	0.6	$[0.1, 0.4]$,	0.9

and $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \mathcal{B}_i = \left\{< p,\ B_{e_i}(p),\ \mu_{e_i}(p) >:\ p \in X\right\}\ e_i \in J, i = 1,2,3\right\}$ *is*

p	$\widetilde{G}(e_1) = \mathcal{B}_1 =$ $< B_{e_1}(p),$ $\mu_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_2) = \mathcal{B}_2 =$ $< B_{e_2}(p),$ $\mu_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_3) = \mathcal{B}_3 =$ $< B_{e_3}(p),$ $\mu_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.6	$[0.2, 0.5]$, 0.5	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.2
p_2	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.2	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.1	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.6
p_3	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.3	$[0.7, 0.9]$, 0.2	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.5
p_4	$[0.7, 0.9]$, 0.4	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.5	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.9

Thus clearly we have that $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$.

3.1.3. *P-union, P-intersection, R-union and R-intersection of any two Cubic soft sets in* X.

Definition 8. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *be two cubic soft sets in* X, *where* I *and* J *are any subsets of parameter's set* E. *Then, we define* P-union *as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\ C\right)$, *where* $C = I \cup J$ *and*

$$\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{F}(e_i) & \text{If } e_i \in I - J, \\ \widetilde{G}(e_i) & \text{If } e_i \in J - I, \\ \widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) & \text{If } e_i \in I \cap J, \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ *is defined as*

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ r\max\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}\ (x)\}, (\ \lambda_{e_i}\ \vee \mu_{\ e_i}\ )(x) >:\ x \in X\right\}, e_i \in I \cap J$$

P-intersection *as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\ C\right)$, *where* $C = I \cap J$, $H(e_i) = \widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ *and* $e_i \in I \cap J$. *Here* $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ *is defined as* $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{< x, r\min\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) >: x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J\right\}$.

R-union *as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\ C\right)$, *where* $C = I \cup J$ *and*

$$H(e_i) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{F}(e_i) & \text{If } e_i \in I - J \\ \widetilde{G}(e_i) & \text{If } e_i \in J - I \\ \widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) & \text{If } e_i \in I \cap J \end{cases}$$

Example 6. *Here* $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ *is defined as*

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ r\max\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(p)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) >:\ x \in X\right\},\ e_i \in I \cap J$$

R-intersection *as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\ C\right)$, *where* $C = I \cap J$, $H(e_i) = \widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ *and* $e_i \in I \cap J$. *Here* $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ *is defined as*

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ r\min\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) >:\ x \in X\ e_i \in I \cap J\right\}$$

(1)

EXAMPLE 7. *(P-union) Let* $X = \{p_1,\ p_2,\ p_3,\ p_4\}$ *be initial universe,* $I = \{e_1,\ e_2\}$ *and* $J = \{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3\}$ *are any subsets of parameter's set* $E = \{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3\}$*. Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ *be cubic soft set define as:*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) = \mathcal{A}_1 =$ $< A_{e_1}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_2) = \mathcal{A}_2 =$ $< A_{e_2}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_2}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.3	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.7
p_2	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.8	$[0.7, 1]$, 0.8
p_3	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.7	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.6
p_4	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.5	$[0.1, 0.4]$, 0.9

Let $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \mathcal{B}_i = \left\{< p,\ B_{e_i}(p),\ \mu_{e_i}(p) >:\ p \in X,\ e_i \in J, i = 1, 2, 3\right\}$ *be a cubic soft set over* X

p	$\widetilde{G}(e_1) = \mathcal{B}_1 =$ $< B_{e_1}(p),$ $\mu_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_2) = \mathcal{B}_2 =$ $< B_{e_2}(p),$ $\mu_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_3) = \mathcal{B}_3 =$ $< B_{e_3}(p),$ $\mu_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.8	$[0.2, 0.5]$, 0.7	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.7
p_2	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.6	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.8	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.5
p_3	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.4	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.9	$[0.1, 0.4]$, 0.9
p_4	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.7	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.6	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.6

Then, $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *is a cubic soft set over* X *and defined as:*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_1) =$ $< r\max\{A_{e_1}(p), B_{e_1}(p)\}, (\lambda_{e_1} \vee \mu_{e_1})(p) >$
p_1	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.8
p_2	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.8
p_3	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.7
p_4	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.7

p_1	$\widetilde{F}(e_2) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_2) =$ $< r\max\{A_{e_2}(p), B_{e_2}(p)\}, (\lambda_{e_2} \vee \mu_{e_2})(p) >$
p_2	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.7
p_2	$[0.7, 1]$, 0.8
p_3	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.9
p_4	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.9

p	$\widetilde{G}(e_3) = \mathcal{B}_3 =$ $< B_{e_3}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.7
p_2	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.5
p_3	$[0.1, 0.4]$, 0.9
p_4	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.6

(P-intersection) Let $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ *be a cubic soft set over* U *and defined as:*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1)=\mathcal{A}_1=$ $< A_{e_1}(p),\quad \lambda_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_2)=\mathcal{A}_2=$ $< A_{e_2}(p),\quad \lambda_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_3)=\mathcal{A}_3=$ $< A_{e_3}(p),\quad \lambda_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.3,0.6]\,,\quad 0.6$	$[0.1,0.4]\,,\quad 0.5$	$[0.5,0.8]\,,\quad 0.7$
p_2	$[0.4,0.7]\,,\quad 0.7$	$[0.7,1]\,,\quad 0.4$	$[0.6,0.9]\,,\quad 0.5$
p_3	$[0.6,0.9]\,,\quad 0.8$	$[0.4,0.7]\,,\quad 0.6$	$[0.4,0.7]\,,\quad 0.6$
p_4	$[0.2,0.5]\,,\quad 0.3$	$[0.5,0.8]\quad 0.7$	$[0.3,0.6]\,,\quad 0.8$

Let $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)=\widetilde{G}(e_i)=\mathcal{B}_i=\left\{< p,\ B_{e_i}(p),\ \mu_{e_i}(p) >:\ p\in X,\ e_i\in J, i=1,2,3\right\}$ *be a cubic soft set over* X

p	$\widetilde{G}(e_1)=\mathcal{B}_1=$ $< B_{e_1}(p),\quad \mu_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_2)=\mathcal{B}_2=$ $< B_{e_2}(p),\quad \mu_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_3)=\mathcal{B}_3=$ $< B_{e_3}(p),\quad \mu_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.4,0.5]\,,\quad 0.7$	$[0.4,0.6]\,,\quad 0.6$	$[0.6,0.9]\,,\quad 0.1$
p_2	$[0.5,0.6]\,,\quad 0.5$	$[0.5,0.7]\,,\quad 0.7$	$[0.7,1]\,,\quad 0.4$
p_3	$[0.7,0.8]\,,\quad 0.7$	$[0.7,1]\,,\quad 0.5$	$[0.5,0.8]\,,\quad 0.3$
p_4	$[0.3,0.4]\,,\quad 0.6$	$[0.4,0.7]\,,\quad 0.8$	$[0.4,0.7]\,,\quad 0.9$

Then, P-intersection is denoted by $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)\cap_P\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *and defined as:*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1)\wedge_P\widetilde{G}(e_1)=$ $< r\min\{A_{e_1}(p),B_{e_1}(p)\}\,,(\lambda_{e_1}\wedge\mu_{e_1})(p) >$
p_1	$[0.3,0.5]\,,\quad 0.6$
p_2	$[0.4,0.6]\,,\quad 0.5$
p_3	$[0.6,0.8]\,,\quad 0.7$
p_4	$[0.2,0.4]\,,\quad 0.3$

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_2)\wedge_P\widetilde{G}(e_2)=$ $< r\min\{A_{e_2}(p),B_{e_2}(p)\}\,,(\lambda_{e_2}\wedge\mu_{e_2})(p) >$
p_1	$[0.1,0.4]\,,\quad 0.5$
p_2	$[0.5,0.7]\,,\quad 0.4$
p_3	$[0.4,0.7]\,,\quad 0.5$
p_4	$[0.4,0.7]\,,\quad 0.7$

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_3)\wedge_P\widetilde{G}(e_3)=$ $< r\min\{A_{e_2}(p),B_{e_2}(p)\}\,,(\lambda_{e_2}\wedge\mu_{e_2})(p) >$
p_1	$[0.5,0.8]\,,\quad 0.1$
p_2	$[0.6,0.9]\,,\quad 0.4$
p_3	$[0.4,0.7]\,,\quad 0.3$
p_4	$[0.3,0.6]\,,\quad 0.8$

(R-union) Let $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ *be a cubic soft set over* X *and defined as:*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1)=\mathcal{A}_1=$ $< A_{e_1}(p),\quad \lambda_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_2)=\mathcal{A}_2=$ $< A_{e_2}(p),\quad \lambda_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_3)=\mathcal{A}_3=$ $< A_{e_3}(p),\quad \lambda_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.3,0.5]\,,\quad 0.6$	$[0.1,0.4]\,,\quad 0.5$	$[0.5,0.8]\,,\quad 0.7$
p_2	$[0.4,0.6]\,,\quad 0.5$	$[0.5,0.7]\,,\quad 0.8$	$[0.6,0.9]\,,\quad 0.5$
p_3	$[0.6,0.8]\,,\quad 0.7$	$[0.4,0.7]\,,\quad 0.5$	$[0.4,0.7]\,,\quad 0.6$
p_4	$[0.3,0.5]\,,\quad 0.6$	$[0.5,0.8]\,,\quad 0.7$	$[0.5,0.8]\,,\quad 0.7$

Let $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \mathcal{B}_i = \{< p, B_{e_i}(p), \mu_i(p) >: p \in X, e_i \in J, i = 1, 2, 3\}$ *be a cubic soft and defined as:*

p	$\widetilde{G}(e_1) = \mathcal{B}_1 =$ $< B_{e_1}(p),$ $\mu_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_2) = \mathcal{B}_2 =$ $< B_{e_2}(p),$ $\mu_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_3) = \mathcal{B}_3 =$ $< B_{e_3}(p),$ $\mu_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.4, 0.5]$, 0.7	$[0.4, 0.6]$, 0.6	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.1
p_2	$[0.5, 0.6]$, 0.5	$[0.5, 0.7]$, 0.7	$[0.7, 1]$, 0.4
p_3	$[0.7, 0.8]$, 0.7	$[0.7, 1]$, 0.5	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.3
p_4	$[0.3, 0.4]$, 0.6	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.8	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.9.

Then, their R-union is denoted by $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *and defined as*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_1) =$ $< r \max\{A_{e_1}(p), B_{e_1}(p)\}, (\lambda_{e_1} \wedge \mu_{e_1})(p) >$
p_1	$[0.4, 0.5]$, 0.6
p_2	$[0.5, 0.6]$, 0.5
p_3	$[0.7, 0.8]$, 0.7
p_4	$[0.3, 0.5]$, 0.6

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_2) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_2) =$ $< r \max\{A_{e_2}(p), B_{e_2}(p)\}, (\lambda_{e_2} \wedge \mu_{e_2})(p) >$
p_1	$[0.4, 0.6]$, 0.5
p_2	$[0.5, 0.7]$, 0.7
p_3	$[0.7, 1]$, 0.5
p_4	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.7

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_3) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_3) =$ $< r \max\{A_{e_3}(p), B_{e_3}(p)\}, (\lambda_{e_3} \wedge \mu_{e_3})(p) >$
p_1	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.1
p_2	$[0.7, 1]$, 0.4
p_3	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.3
p_4	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.7

(R-intersection) Let $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ *be a cubic soft set over* X *and defined as:*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) = \mathcal{A}_1 =$ $< A_{e_1}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_2) = \mathcal{A}_2 =$ $< A_{e_2}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_3) = \mathcal{A}_3 =$ $< A_{e_3}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.2	$[0.7, 1]$, 0.6	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.9
p_2	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.4	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.7	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.6
p_3	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.7	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.8	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.65
p_4	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.5	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.9	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.75

Let $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \mathcal{B}_i\ = \{< p,\ B_i\ (p),\ \mu_i\ (p) >:\ p \in X\}\, e_i \in J, i = 1,2,3\right\}$ *be a cubic sot set defined as:*

p	$\widetilde{G}(e_1) = \mathcal{B}_1 =$ $< B_{e_1}(p),$ $\mu_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_2) = \mathcal{B}_2 =$ $< B_{e_2}(p),$ $\mu_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_3) = \mathcal{B}_3 =$ $< B_{e_3}(p),$ $\mu_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.3	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.3	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.8
p_2	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.6	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.2	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.6
p_3	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.7	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.4	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.5
p_4	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.9	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.5	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.7.

Then, the R-intersection is is denoted by $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *and defined as below:*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_1) =$ $< r \min \{A_{e_1}(p), B_{e_1}(p)\}, (\lambda_{e_1} \vee \mu_{e_1})(p) >$
p_1	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.3
p_2	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.6
p_3	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.7
p_4	$[0.5, 0.8]$, 0.9

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_2) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_2) =$ $< r \min \{A_{e_2}(p), B_{e_2}(p)\}, (\lambda_{e_2} \vee \mu_{e_2})(p) >$
p_1	$[0.6, 0.9]$, 0.6
p_2	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.7
p_3	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.8
p_4	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.9

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_3) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_3) =$ $< r \min A_{e_3}(p), B_{e_3}(p), (\lambda_{e_3} \vee \mu_{e_3})(p) >$
p_1	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.9
p_2	$[0.4, 0.7]$, 0.6
p_3	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.65
p_4	$[0.3, 0.6]$, 0.75

3.1.4. *P-OR, R-OR, P-AND and R-AND of cubic soft sets.*

DEFINITION 9. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \mathcal{A}_i = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \mathcal{B}_i\ = \{< x,\ B_i\ (x),\ \mu_{e_i}\ (x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ *be cubic soft sets in* X. *Then,*

(1) *P-OR is denoted by* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \vee_P \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *and defined as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \vee_P \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\ I \times J\right)$ *where* $\widetilde{H}(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = \widetilde{F}(\alpha_i) \cup_P \widetilde{G}(\beta_i)$ *for all* $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \in I \times J$.

(2) *R-OR is denoted by* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \vee_R \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *and defined as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \vee_R \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\ I \times J\right)$ *where* $\widetilde{H}(\alpha_i,\beta_i) = \widetilde{F}(\alpha_i) \cup_R \widetilde{G}(\beta_i)$ *for all* $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \in I \times J$.

(3) *P-AND is denoted by* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \wedge_P \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *and defined as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \wedge_P \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\ I \times J\right)$ *where* $\widetilde{H}(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = \widetilde{F}(\alpha_i) \cap_P \widetilde{G}(\beta_i)$ *for all* $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \in I \times J$.

(4) *R-AND is denoted by* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)\wedge_R\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *and defined as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)\wedge_R\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)=\left(\widetilde{H},\ I\times J\right)$ *where* $\widetilde{H}(\alpha_i,\beta_i)=\widetilde{F}(\alpha_i)\cap_R\widetilde{G}(\beta_i)$ *for all* $(\alpha_i,\beta_i)\in I\times J$.

EXAMPLE 8. *Let* $X=\{p_1,\ p_2,\ p_3,\ p_4\}$ *be initial universe,* $I=\{e_1,\ e_2\}$ *and* $J=\{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3\}$ *are any subsets of parameter's set* $E=\{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3\}$. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *be two cubic soft over* X *and defined as below, respectively.*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1)=\mathcal{A}_1=$ $<A_{e_1}(p),\ \lambda_{e_1}(p)>$	$\widetilde{F}(e_2)=\mathcal{A}_2=$ $<A_{e_2}(p),\lambda_{e_2}(p)>$
p_1	$[0.3,0.6]$, 0.2	$[0.7,1]$, 0.6
p_2	$[0.4,0.7]$, 0.4	$[0.5,0.8]$, 0.7
p_3	$[0.5,0.8]$, 0.7	$[0.4,0.7]$, 0.8
p_4	$[0.6,0.9]$, 0.5	$[0.3,0.6]$, 0.9

and

p	$\widetilde{G}(e_1)=\mathcal{B}_1=$ $<B_{e_1}(p),\ \mu_{e_1}(p)>$	$\widetilde{G}(e_2)=\mathcal{B}_2=$ $<B_{e_2}(p),\ \mu_{e_2}(p)>$
p_1	$[0.4,0.7]$, 0.3	$[0.6,0.9]$, 0.3
p_2	$[0.3,0.6]$, 0.6	$[0.4,0.7]$, 0.2
p_3	$[0.6,0.9]$, 0.7	$[0.3,0.6]$, 0.4
p_4	$[0.5,0.8]$, 0.9	$[0.4,0.7]$, 0.5.

Then P-OR is denoted as $\left(\widetilde{H},\ I\times J\right)=\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)\vee_P\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$, *where* $I\times J=\{(e_1,e_1),(e_1,e_2),(e_2,e_1),(e_2,e_2)\}$, *is defined*

p	$\widetilde{H}(e_1,e_1)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_1)\cup_P\widetilde{G}(e_1)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_1,e_2)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_1)\cup_P\widetilde{G}(e_2)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_2,e_1)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_2)\cup_P\widetilde{G}(e_1)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_2,e_2)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_2)\cup_P\widetilde{G}(e_2)$
p_1	$[0.4,0.7],0.3$	$[0.6,0.9],0.3$	$[0.7,1]$, 0.6	$[0.7,1]$, 0.6
p_2	$[0.4,0.7],0.6$	$[0.4,0.7],0.4$	$[0.5,0.8],0.7$	$[0.5,0.8],0.7$
p_3	$[0.6,0.9],0.7$	$[0.5,0.8],0.7$	$[0.6,0.9],0.8$	$[0.4,0.7],0.8$
p_4	$[0.6,0.9],0.9$	$[0.6,0.9],0.5$	$[0.5,0.8],0.9$	$[0.4,0.7],0.9$

R-OR is denoted by $\left(\widetilde{H},\ I\times J\right)=\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)\vee_R\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$, *where* $I\times J=\{(e_1,e_1),(e_1,e_2),(e_2,e_1),(e_2,e_2)\}$, *is defined*

p	$\widetilde{H}(e_1,e_1)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_1)\cup_R\widetilde{G}(e_1)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_1,e_2)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_1)\cup_R\widetilde{G}(e_2)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_2,e_1)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_2)\cup_R\widetilde{G}(e_1)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_2,e_2)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_2)\cup_R\widetilde{G}(e_2)$
p_1	$[0.4,0.7],0.2$	$[0.6,0.9],0.2$	$[0.7,1]$, 0.3	$[0.7,1]$, 0.3
p_2	$[0.4,0.7],0.4$	$[0.4,0.7],0.2$	$[0.5,0.8],0.6$	$[0.5,0.8],0.2$
p_3	$[0.6,0.9],0.7$	$[0.5,0.8],0.4$	$[0.6,0.9],0.7$	$[0.4,0.7],0.4$
p_4	$[0.6,0.9],0.5$	$[0.6,0.9],0.5$	$[0.5,0.8],0.9$	$[0.4,0.7],0.5$

P-AND is denoted by $\left(\widetilde{H},\, I\times J\right)=\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)\wedge_P\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$, *where* $I\times J=\{(e_1,e_1),\ (e_1,e_2),\ (e_2,e_1),\ (e_2,e_2)\}$, *is defined*

p	$\widetilde{H}(e_1,e_1)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_1)\cap_P\widetilde{G}(e_1)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_1,e_2)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_1)\cap_P\widetilde{G}(e_2)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_2,e_1)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_2)\cap_P\widetilde{G}(e_1)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_2,e_2)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_2)\cap_P\widetilde{G}(e_2)$
p_1	$[0.3,0.6],0.2$	$[0.3,0.6],0.2$	$[0.4,0.7],0.3$	$[0.6,0.9],0.3$
p_2	$[0.3,0.6],0.4$	$[0.4,0.7],0.2$	$[0.3,0.6],0.6$	$[0.4,0.7],0.2$
p_3	$[0.5,0.8],0.7$	$[0.3,0.6],0.4$	$[0.4,0.7],0.7$	$[0.3,0.6],0.4$
p_4	$[0.5,0.8],0.5$	$[0.4,0.7],0.5$	$[0.3,0.6],0.9$	$[0.3,0.6],0.5$

R-AND is denoted by $\left(\widetilde{H},\, I\times J\right)=\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)\wedge_P\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$, *where* $I\times J=\{(e_1,e_1),\ (e_1,e_2),\ (e_2,e_1),\ (e_2,e_2)\}$, *is defiend*

p	$\widetilde{H}(e_1,e_1)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_1)\cap_R\widetilde{G}(e_1)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_1,e_2)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_1)\cap_R\widetilde{G}(e_2)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_2,e_1)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_2)\cap_R\widetilde{G}(e_1)$	$\widetilde{H}(e_2,e_2)=$ $\widetilde{F}(e_2)\cap_R\widetilde{G}(e_2)$
p_1	$[0.3,0.6],0.3$	$[0.3,0.6],0.3$	$[0.4,0.7],0.6$	$[0.6,0.9],0.6$
p_2	$[0.3,0.6],0.6$	$[0.4,0.7],0.4$	$[0.3,0.6],0.7$	$[0.4,0.7],0.7$
p_3	$[0.5,0.8],0.7$	$[0.3,0.6],0.7$	$[0.4,0.7],0.8$	$[0.3,0.6],0.8$
p_4	$[0.5,0.8],0.9$	$[0.4,0.7],0.5$	$[0.3,0.6],0.9$	$[0.3,0.6],0.9$

Definition 10. *The complement of a cubic soft set*

$$\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)=\left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i)=\{<x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x)>:\ x\in X\}\ \ e_i\in I\right\}$$

is denoted by $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^c$ *and defined as* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^c=(\widetilde{F}^c,\neg I)$, *where* $\widetilde{F}^c:\neg I\longrightarrow CP(X)$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{F}^c(e_i) &= (\widetilde{F}(\neg e_i))^c \text{ for all } e_i\in\neg I\\ &= (\widetilde{F}(e_i))^c \ (\text{as } \neg(\neg e_i)=e_i)\end{aligned}$$

$\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^c=\{((\widetilde{F}(e_i))^c=\{<x,A^c_{e_i}(x),\lambda^c_{e_i}(x)>:x\in X\}\ e_i\in I\}$.

Example 9. *Let* $X=\{p_1,\ p_2,\ p_3,\ p_4\}$ *be initial universe and* $E=\{e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3\}$ *parameter's set. Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *be a cubic soft set over* X *and defined as:*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1)=\mathcal{A}_1$ $=<A_{e_1}(p),\quad \lambda_{e_1}(p)>$	$\widetilde{F}(e_2)=\mathcal{A}_2$ $=<A_{e_2}(p),\quad \lambda_{e_2}(p)>$	$\widetilde{F}(e_3)=\mathcal{A}_3$ $=<A_{e_3}(p),\quad \lambda_{e_3}(p)>$
p_1	$[0.3,0.5],\quad 0.6$	$[0.1,0.4],\quad 0.5$	$[0.5,0.8],\quad 0.7$
p_2	$[0.4,0.6],\quad 0.5$	$[0.5,0.7],\quad 0.4$	$[0.6,0.9],\quad 0.4$
p_3	$[0.6,0.8],\quad 0.7$	$[0.4,0.7],\quad 0.5$	$[0.4,0.7],\quad 0.3$
p_4	$[0.2,0.4],\quad 0.3$	$[0.4,0.7],\quad 0.7$	$[0.3,0.6],\quad 0.8.$

Then, $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^c == \{((\widetilde{F}(e_i))^c = \{< x, A^c_{e_i}(x), \lambda^c_{e_i}(x) >: x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\}$ *is defined as:*

p	$((\widetilde{F}(e_1))^c = \mathcal{A}^c_1 =$ $< A^c_{e_1}(p),$ $=< \left[1 - A^+_{e_1}(p), 1 - A^-_{e_1}(p)\right],$	$\lambda^c_1(p) >$ $1 - \lambda_{e_1}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.5, 0.7]$,	0.4
p_2	$[0.4, 0.6]$,	0.5
p_3	$[0.2, 0.4]$,	0.3
p_4	$[0.6, 0.8]$,	0.7

p	$((\widetilde{F}(e_2))^c = \mathcal{A}^c_2 =$ $< A^c_{e_2}(p),$ $=< \left[1 - A^+_{e_2}(p), 1 - A^-_{e_2}(p)\right],$	$\lambda^c_2(p) >$ $1 - \lambda_{e_2}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.6, 0.9]$,	0.5
p_2	$[0.3, 0.5]$,	0.6
p_3	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.5
p_4	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.3

p	$((\widetilde{F}(e_3))^c = \mathcal{A}^c_3 =$ $< A^c_{e_3}(p),$ $=< \left[1 - A^+_{e_3}(p), 1 - A^-_{e_3}(p)\right], 1 - \lambda_{e_3}(p) >$	$\lambda^c_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.2, 0.5]$,	0.3
p_2	$[0.1, 0.4]$,	0.6
p_3	$[0.3, 0.6]$,	0.7
p_4	$[0.4, 0.7]$,	0.2

PROPOSITION 1. *Let* X *be initial universe and* I, J, L *and* S *subset of* E. *Then, for any cubic soft sets* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$, $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$, $\left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{T},\, S\right)$ *the following properties hold.*

(1) *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right)$, *then*$\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_P (\widetilde{E},\, L)$.

(2) *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$, *then* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)^c \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^c$ *if* $I = J$.

(3) *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right)$, *then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right)$.

(4) *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$, *then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$.

(5) *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{T},\, S\right)$, *then (a)* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{T},\, S\right)$ *and (b)* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right) \subseteq_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{T},\, S\right)$.

(6) *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right)$, *then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right)$.

(7) *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$, *then* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)^c \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^c$ *if* $I = J$.

(8) *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right)$, *then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right)$.

(9) *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$, *then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$.

(10) *If* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{T},\, S\right)$, *then (a)* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{T},\, S\right)$ *and*

(b) $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{E},\, L\right) \subseteq_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{T},\, S\right)$.

PROOF. PROOF. Proof is straightforward. □ □

THEOREM 3. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *be a cubic soft set over* X.

(1) If $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ is an internal cubic soft set, then $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^c$ is also an internal cubic soft set (ICSS).

(2) If $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ is an external cubic soft set, then $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^c$ is also an external cubic soft set (ECSS).

PROOF. (1) Given $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I,\right\}$ is an ICSS this implies $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$, this implies $1 - A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq 1 - \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq 1 - A^-_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$. Also we have

Hence $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^c$ is an ICSS.

(2) Given $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I,\right\}$ is an ECSS this implies $\lambda_{e_i}(x) \notin (A^-_{e_i}(x), A^+_{e_i}(x))$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$. Since $\lambda_{e_i}(x) \notin ((A^-_{e_i}(x), A^+_{e_i}(x))$ and $0 \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq 1$. So we have $\lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x)$ or $A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x)$ this implies $1 - \lambda_{e_i}(x) \geq 1 - A^-_{e_i}(x)$ or $1 - A^+_{e_i}(x) \geq 1 - \lambda_{e_i}(x)$. Thus $1 - \lambda_{e_i}(x) \notin (1 - A^-_{e_i}(x), 1 - A^+_{e_i}(x))$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$. Hence

$\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^c$ is an ECSS. □

THEOREM 4. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\right\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ *be internal cubic soft sets. Then,*

(1) $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *is an ICSS.*

(2) $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *is an ICSS.*

PROOF. (1) Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ are internal cubic soft sets. So for $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ we have $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$. Also for $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ we have $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$. Then we have $\max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\} \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq \max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}$ for all $e_i \in I \cup J$

and for all $x \in X$. Now by definition of P-union of $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$, we have $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$ where $C = I \cup J$ and

$$H(e_i) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{F}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I - J \\ \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in J - I \\ \widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I \cap J \end{cases}$$

If $e_i \in I \cap J$, then $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < x,\ r \max\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J. \end{array} \right\}$$

Thus $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is an ICSS if $e_i \in I \cap J$. If $e_i \in I - J$ or $e_i \in J - I$, then the result is trivial. Hence, $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is an ICSS in all cases.

(2) Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$, where $C = I \cap J$ and $\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$. If $e_i \in I \cap J$, then $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < x,\ r \min\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J. \end{array} \right\}$$

Also given that $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ are internal cubic soft sets. So for $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ we have $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$. And for $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ we have $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$. This implies $\min\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\} \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq \min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}$ for all $e_i \in I \cap J$. Hence $\left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right) = \left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is an internal cubic soft set (ICSS). □

THEOREM 5. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *be ICSSs over* X *such that* $\max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\} \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x)$ *for all* $e_i \in I \cap J$ *and for all* $x \in X$. *Then, the R-union of* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *is also an ICSS.*

PROOF. Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ are internal cubic soft sets in X. So for $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ we have $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$. Also for $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ we have $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$. So we have $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq \max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}$. Also given that $\max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\} \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x)$ for all $e_i \in I \cap J$ and for all $x \in X$. Now $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$, where $C = I \cup J$ and

$$H(e_i) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{F}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I - J \\ \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in J - I \\ \widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I \cap J, \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < x,\ r \max\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(p)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\, e_i \in I \cap J. \end{array} \right\}$$

Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ are ICSSs so from above given condition and definition of an ICSS we can write $\max\{A^{-}_{e_i}(x), B^{-}_{e_i}(x)\} \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq \max\{A^{+}_{e_i}(x), B^{+}_{e_i}(x)\}$ for all $e_i \in I \cap J$, and for all $x \in X$. If $e_i \in I - J$ or $e_i \in J - I$ then the result is trivial. Thus $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$ is an ICSS if $\max\{A^{-}_{e_i}(x), B^{-}_{e_i}(x)\} \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x)$ for all $e_i \in I \cup J$ and for all $x \in X$. □

Theorem 6. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ *be ICSSs in* X *satisfying the following inequality*

$\min\{A^{+}_{e_i}(x), B^{+}_{e_i}(x)\} \geq (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x)$ *for all* $e_i \in I \cap J$ *and for all* $x \in X$. *Then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *is an ICSS.*

Proof. Let $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$. Then, by definition of an ICSS. $A^{-}_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq A^{+}_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$ and $B^{-}_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq B^{+}_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$, this implis that $\min\{A^{-}_{e_i}(x), B^{-}_{e_i}(x)\} \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x)$. Also since $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$ where $C = I \cap J$ and $H(e_i) = \widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ If $e_i \in I \cap J$ then $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < x,\ r \min\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\ \lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J \end{array} \right\}\right\}$$

Given condition $\min\{A^{+}_{e_i}(x), B^{+}_{e_i}(x)\} \geq (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x)$ for all $e_i \in I \cap J$ and for all $x \in X$. Thus from given condition and definition of ICSSs $\{A^{-}_{e_i}(x), B^{-}_{e_i}(x)\} \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq \min\{A^{+}_{e_i}(x), B^{+}_{e_i}(x)\}$. Hence $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is an ICSS. □

Definition 11. *Given two cubic soft sets*

$\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I,\right\}$

and

$\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$, *if we interchange* λ *and* μ, *then the new cubic soft sets are denoted and defined as*

$\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^{*} = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I,\right\}$ *and*

$\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)^{*} = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ *respectively.*

Theorem 7. *For two ECSSs* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I,\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ *in* X, *if* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^{*}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)^{*}$ *are ICSSs in* X *then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *is an ICSS in* X.

Proof. Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ are ECSSs. Then for $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$, we have $\lambda_{e_i}(x) \notin (A^{-}_{e_i}(x), A^{+}_{e_i}(x))$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$. And

for $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$, we have $\mu_{e_i}(x) \notin (B^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x))$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$. Also given that

$\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^* = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}\ (x),\ \mu_{e_i}\ (x) >:\ x \in X\}\ \ e_i \in I,\right\}$ and

$\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)^* = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}\ (x),\ \lambda_{e_i}\ (x) >:\ x \in X\}\ \ e_i \in J\right\}$ are ICSSs so this implies $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$ and $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$. Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ are ECSSs, and $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^*$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)^*$ are ICSSs. Thus by definition of ECSSs and ICSSs, all the possibilities are as under

(i)(a) $\lambda_{e_i}\ (x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$.
(i)(b) $\mu_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}\ (x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$.
(ii)(a) $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}\ (x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$.
(ii)(b) $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}\ (x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$.
(iii)(a) $\lambda_{e_i}\ (x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$.
(iii)(b) $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}\ (x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$.
(iv)(a) $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}\ (x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$.
(iv)(b) $\mu_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}\ (x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$.

Since P-union of $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is denoted and defined as $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$ where $C = I \cup J$ and

$$\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{F}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I - J \\ \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in J - I \\ \widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I \cap J, \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < x,\ r\max\{A_{e_i}\ (x), B_{e_i}\ (x)\}, (\ \lambda_{e_i}\ \vee \mu_{e_i}\ )(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J. \end{array} \right\}$$

Case 1: If $\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \widetilde{F}(e_i)$ that is if $e_i \in I - J$, then from (i)(a) and (ii)(a), we have $\lambda_{e_i}(x) = A^-_{e_i}(x)$ and $\lambda_{e_i}(x) = A^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$. Thus $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I - J$ and for all $x \in X$.

Case 2: If $\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ that is if $e_i \in J - I$, then from (i)(b) and (ii)(b), we have $\mu_{e_i}(x) = B^-_{e_i}(x)$ and $\mu_{e_i}(x) = B^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$. Thus $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J - I$ and for all $x \in X$.

Case 3: If $\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ that is if $e_i \in I \cap J$, then from (i)(a) and (i)(b), we have $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$ and $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$.

Hence if $e_i \in I \cap J$, then $\max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\} \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq \max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}$. Thus, in all the three cases $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is an ICSS in X. □

EXAMPLE 10. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ \ e_i \in I\right\}$ *be cubic soft set defined by*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) = \mathcal{A}_1 =$ $< A_{e_1}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_2) = \mathcal{A}_2 =$ $< A_{e_2}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{F}(e_3) = \mathcal{A}_3 =$ $< A_{e_3}(p),$ $\lambda_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.2, 0.4]$, 0.5	$[0.7, 0.9]$, 0.6	$[0.4, 0.6]$, 0.3
p_2	$[0.3, 0.5]$, 0.6	$[0.2, 0.4]$, 0.5	$[0.5, 0.7]$, 0.4
p_3	$[0.4, 0.6]$, 0.7	$[0.3, 0.5]$, 0.6	$[0.4, 0.6]$, 0.3

and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \mathcal{B}_i = \left\{< p,\ B_{e_i}(p),\ \mu_{e_i}(p) >:\ p \in X\right\}\ \ e_i \in J, i = 1, 2, 3\right\}$ *cubic soft set defined by*

p	$\widetilde{G}(e_1) = \mathcal{B}_1 =$ $< B_{e_1}(p),$ $\mu_{e_1}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_2) = \mathcal{B}_2 =$ $< B_{e_2}(p),$ $\mu_{e_2}(p) >$	$\widetilde{G}(e_3) = \mathcal{B}_3 =$ $< B_{e_3}(p),$ $\mu_{e_3}(p) >$
p_1	$[0.4, 0.6]$, 0.3	$[0.5, 0.7]$, 0.8	$[0.2, 0.4]$, 0.5
p_2	$[0.5, 0.7]$, 0.4	$[0.4, 0.6]$, 0.3	$[0.3, 0.5]$, 0.6
p_3	$[0.6, 0.8]$, 0.5	$[0.5, 0.7]$, 0.4	$[0.2, 0.4]$, 0.5

Above $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *are ECSSs and constructed so that their corresponding* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^*$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)^*$ *are ICSSs. Now consider* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *is defined by*

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_1) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_1) =$ $< r\max\{A_{e_1}(p), B_{e_1}(p)\}, (\lambda_{e_1} \vee \mu_{e_1})p >$
p_1	$[0.4, 0.6]$, 0.5
p_2	$[0.5, 0.7]$, 0.6
p_3	$[0.6, 0.8]$, 0.7

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_2) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_2) =$ $< r\max\{A_{e_2}(p), B_{e_2}(p)\}, (\lambda_{e_2} \vee \mu_{e_2})p >$
p_1	$[0.7, 0.9]$, 0.8
p_2	$[0.4, 0.6]$, 0.5
p_3	$[0.5, 0.7]$, 0.6

p	$\widetilde{F}(e_3) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_3) =$ $< r\max\{A_{e_3}(p), B_{e_3}(p)\}$ $(\lambda_{e_3} \vee \mu_{e_3})p >$
p_1	$[0.4, 0.6]$, 0.5
p_2	$[0.5, 0.7]$, 0.6
p_3	$[0.4, 0.6]$, 0.5

Which is an ICSS.

THEOREM 8. *For two ECSSs* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ \ e_i \in I,\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\right\} e_i \in J\right\}$ *in* X, *if*
$\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)^* = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ A_i\ (x),\ \mu_{e_i}\ (x) >:\ x \in X\right\}\ \ e_i \in I,\right\}$ *and*
$\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)^* = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_i\ (x),\ \lambda_{e_i}\ (x) >:\ x \in X\} e_i \in J\right\}$ *are ICSSs in* X, *then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *is an ICSS in* X.

PROOF. By similar way to Theorem 7, we can obtain the result. □

THEOREM 9. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ *be ECSSs in* X *such that*

$\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)^* = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *and*

$\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)^* = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ *be ECSSs. Then, the P-union of* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *is an ECSS in* X.

PROOF. Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right), \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right), \left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)^*$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)^*$ are ECSSs so by using the definition of an external cubic soft set for $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right), \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right), \left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)^*$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)^*$, we have $\lambda_{e_i}(x) \notin (A^-_{e_i}(x), A^+_{e_i}(x))$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$, $\mu_{e_i}(x) \notin (B^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x))$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$, $\mu_{e_i}(x) \notin (A^-_{e_i}(x), A^+_{e_i}(x))$ for all $e_i \in I$ and for all $x \in X$ and $\lambda_{e_i}(x) \notin (B^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x))$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$ respectively. Thus we have $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin (\max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\})$ for all $e_i \in I \cap J$ and for all $x \in X$.

Also since $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\ C\right)$ where $C = I \cup J$ and

$$\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{F}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I - J \\ \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in J - I \\ \widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I \cap J, \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < x,\ r \max\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J. \end{array} \right\}$$

So, by definitions of an external cubic soft set $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ is an ECSS in X. □

THEOREM 10. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ *be ECSSs in* X *such that*

$$(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \in \left[\begin{array}{c} \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}, \\ \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \min\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}\ (x)\}\} \end{array} \right)$$

for all $e_i \in I$, *for all* $e_i \in J$ *and for all* $x \in X$. *Then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *is also an ECSS.*

PROOF. Consider $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\ C\right)$, $C = I \cap J$

where $\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < x,\ r \min\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J \end{array} \right\}$$

For each $e_i \in I \cap J$, take $\alpha_{e_i} = \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$ and $\beta_{e_i} = \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \min\{A^-_i(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$. Then α_{e_i} is one of $A^-_{e_i}(x)$, $B^-_{e_i}(x)$, $A^+_{e_i}(x)$ and $B^+_{e_i}(x)$. We consider $\alpha_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$ or $A^+_{e_i}(x)$ only, as the remaining cases are similar to this one. If $\alpha_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$, then $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq$

$A^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $\beta_{e_i} = B^+_{e_i}(x)$ thus $B^-_{e_i}(x) = (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x) \leq (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x) = B^+_{e_i}(x) = \beta_{e_i} < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x)$. Hence $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x),(A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x))$. If $\alpha_{e_i} = A^+_{e_i}(x)$, then $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $\beta_{e_i} = \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}$. Assume that $\beta_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$, then $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$. So from this, we can write $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) < A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ or $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) = A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$.

For the case $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) < A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ it is contradiction to the fact that $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ are ECSSs. For the case $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) = A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$, we have $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x), (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x))$ because, $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) = A^+_{e_i}(x) = (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x)$. Again assume that $\beta_{e_i} = B^-_{e_i}(x)$, then $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$. From this we can write $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) < A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ or $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) = A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$.

For the case $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) < A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ it is contradiction to the fact that $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ are ECSSs. And if we take the case $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) = A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ we get $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x),\ (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x))$ because, $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) = A^+_{e_i}(x) = (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x)$. Hence in all the cases, $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is an ECSS in X. □

THEOREM 11. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ \ e_i \in I,\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\right\}\ \ e_i \in J\right\}$ *be cubic soft sets in* X *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\} \\ = \ & (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \\ = \ & \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \min\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}\ (x)\}\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $e_i \in I$, *for all* $e_i \in J$ *and for all* $x \in X$. *Then, the* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *is both an ECSS and an ICSS in* X.

PROOF. Consider $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$, where $C = I \cap J$. $\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) =$

$\left\{\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \{< x,\ r\min\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ \ e_i \in I \cap J\right\}$.

For each $e_i \in I \cap J$ take

$\alpha_{e_i} = \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$ and

$\beta_{e_i} = \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \min\{A^-_{e_i}\ (x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$.

Then α_{e_i} is one of $A^-_{e_i}(x)$, $B^-_{e_i}(x)$, $A^+_{e_i}\ (x)$ and $B^+_{e_i}(x)$. We consider $\alpha_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$ or $A^+_{e_i}(x)$ only, as the remaining cases are similar to this one. If $\alpha_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$ then $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $\beta_{e_i} = B^+_{e_i}(x)$ this implies that $A^-_{e_i}(x) = \alpha_{e_i} = (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) = \beta_{e_i} = B^+_{e_i}(x)$. Thus $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) = A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$, which implies that $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) = B^+_{e_i}(x) = (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x)$. Hence $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x),(A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x))$ and $(A_i \cap B_i)^-(x) \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x)$. If $\alpha_{e_i} = A^+_{e_i}(x)$, then $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) = A^+_{e_i}(x) = (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x)$. Hence $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x), (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x))$ and $(A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x) \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x)$.

Consequently, we note that $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is both an ECSS and an ICSS in X. □

THEOREM 12. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I,\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\right\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ *are ECSSs in* X *such that*

$$(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \in \left(\begin{array}{c} \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}, \\ \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \min\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\} \end{array}\right]$$

for all $e_i \in I$ *for all* $e_i \in J$ *and for all* $x \in X$ *then the* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *is an ECSS in* X.

PROOF. Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is defined as $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$ where $C = I \cup J$ and

$$\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{F}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I - J \\ \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in J - I \\ \widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I \cap J, \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{\begin{array}{c} < x,\ r\max\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J \end{array}\right\}$$

If $e_i \in I \cap J$, $\alpha_{e_i} = \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}$,$\max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$ and

$\beta_{e_i} = \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}$,$\min\{A^-_i(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$. Then α_{e_i} is one of $A^-_{e_i}(x)$, $B^-_{e_i}(x)$, $A^+_i(x)$ and $B^+_{e_i}(x)$. We consider $\alpha_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$ or $A^+_{e_i}(x)$ only, as the remaining cases are similar to this one. If $\alpha_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$, then $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $\beta_{e_i} = B^+_{e_i}(x)$. Thus $(A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x) = A^-_{e_i}(x) = \alpha_{e_i} > (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x)$. Hence $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x)$,$(A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^+(x))$. If $\alpha_{e_i} = A^+_{e_i}(x)$, then $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $\beta_{e_i} = \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}$. Assume $\beta_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$, then $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) < A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$, so from this we can write $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) < A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ or $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$.

For the case $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) < A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$, it is contradiction to the fact that $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ are ECSSs. For the case $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$, we have $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x)$,$(A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^+(x))$ because, $(A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x) = A^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x)$. Again assume that

$\beta_{e_i} = B^-_{e_i}(x)$, then $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$, so from this we can write $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) < A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ or $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) < A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$. For the case $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) < A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$, it is contradiction to the fact $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ are ECSSs. And if we take the case $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$, we get $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x)$, $(A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^+(x))$ because, $(A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x) = B^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x)$. If $e_i \in I - J$ or $e_i \in J - I$, then we have the trivialresult. Hence $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_P \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is an ECSS in X. □

THEOREM 13. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I,\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\right\} e_i \in J\right\}$ *are ECSSs in* X *such that*

$$(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \in \left(\begin{array}{c} \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}, \\ \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \min\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_i(x)\}\} \end{array}\right]$$

for all $e_i \in I$ *for all* $e_i \in J$ *and for all* $x \in X$ *then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ *is also an ECSS in* X.

PROOF. Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ is defined as $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\ C\right)$, where $C = I \cup J$ and

$$\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{F}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I - J \\ \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in J - I \\ \widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I \cap J, \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{\begin{array}{c} < x,\ r\max\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J. \end{array}\right\}$$

If $e_i \in I \cap J$, take $\alpha_{e_i} = \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}$,$\max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$ and $\beta_{e_i} = \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}$,$\min\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$. Then α_{e_i} is one of $A^-_{e_i}(x)$, $B^-_{e_i}(x)$, $A^+_i(x)$ and $B^+_{e_i}(x)$. We consider $\alpha_{e_i} = B^-_{e_i}(x)$ or $B^+_{e_i}(x)$ only, as the remaining cases are similar to this one. If $\alpha_{e_i} = B^-_{e_i}(x)$ then $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $\beta_{e_i} = A^+_{e_i}(x)$. Thus $(A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x) = B^-_{e_i}(x) = \alpha_{e_i} > (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x)$. Hence $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x)$,$(A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^+(x))$.

If $\alpha_{e_i} = B^+_{e_i}(x)$, then $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $\beta_{e_i} = \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}$. Assume $\beta_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$, then we have $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) < B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$. So from this we can write $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) < B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ or $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$. For the case $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) < B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$, it is contradiction to the fact that $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ are ECSSs. For the case $B^-_{e_i}(x) < A^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$, we have $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x)$,$(A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^+(x))$ because $(A_i \cup B_i)^-(x) = A^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x)$. Again assume that $\beta_{e_i} = B^-_{e_i}(x)$, then we have $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$. From this we can write $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) < B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ or $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) < B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$. For the case $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) < B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$, it is contradiction to the fact $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ are ECSSs. And if we take the case $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$, we get $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x)$,$(A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^+(x))$ because, $(A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x) = B^-_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x)$. If $e_i \in I - J$ or $e_i \in J - I$, then the result is trivial. Hence $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right)$ is an ECSS in X. □

THEOREM 14. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\ I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I,\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\ J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\right\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ *are ECSSs in*

X such that

$$(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \in \left[\begin{array}{c} \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}, \\ \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \min\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_i(x)\}\} \end{array} \right)$$

for all $e_i \in I$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$ then the $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is an ECSS in X.

Proof. Consider $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$, where $C = I \cap J$. $\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < x,\ r\min\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J \end{array} \right\}$$

For each $e_i \in I \cap J$, take $\alpha_{e_i} = \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$ and $\beta_{e_i} = \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \min\{A^-_i(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$. Then α_{e_i} is one of $A^-_{e_i}(x)$, $B^-_{e_i}(x)$, $A^+_i(x)$ and $B^+_{e_i}(x)$. We consider $\alpha_{e_i} = B^-_{e_i}(x)$ or $B^+_{e_i}(x)$ only, as the remaining cases are similar to this one. If $\alpha_{e_i} = B^-_{e_i}(x)$, then $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $\beta_{e_i} = A^+_{e_i}(x)$. Then by given inequality we have $(A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x) = A^+_{e_i}(x) = \beta_{e_i} < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x)$. Thus we have $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x), (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x))$. If $\alpha_{e_i} = B^+_{e_i}(x)$, then $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $\beta_{e_i} = \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}$. Assume $\beta_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$, then we have $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$. So from this we can write $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) < B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ or $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) = B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$. For the case $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) < B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$, it is contradiction to the fact that $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ are ECSSs. For the case $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) = B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$, we have $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x), (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x))$ because, $(A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x) = B^+_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x)$. Again assume that

$\beta_{e_i} = B^-_{e_i}(x)$, then we have $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$. From this we can write $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) < B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ or $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) = B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$. For the case $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) < B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$, it is contradiction to the fact $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ are ECSSs. And if we take the case $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^-_{e_i}(x) < (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) = B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$, we get $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x), (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x))$ because, $(A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x) = B^+_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x)$. Thus $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is an ECSS for all $e_i \in I \cap J$. □

Theorem 15. *Let $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}\ (x),\ \lambda_{e_i}\ (x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I,\right\}$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}\ (x),\ \mu_{e_i}\ (x) >:\ x \in X\}\, e_i \in J\right\}$ are cubic soft sets in X such that*

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\} \\ = & (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) = \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \min\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_i(x)\}\} \end{array}$$

for all $e_i \in I$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$, then $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is both an ECSS and an ICSS in X.

PROOF. Consider $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$ where $C = I \cap J$. Also $\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_P \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < x,\ r\min\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J. \end{array} \right\}$$

For each $e_i \in I \cap J$, take $\alpha_{e_i} = \min\{\max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$ and $\beta_{e_i} = \max\{\min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \min\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}\}$. Then α_{e_i} is one of $A^-_{e_i}(x)$, $B^-_{e_i}(x)$, $A^+_{e_i}(x)$ and $B^+_{e_i}(x)$. We consider $\alpha_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$ or $A^+_{e_i}(x)$ only, as remaining cases are similar to this one. If $\alpha_{e_i} = A^-_{e_i}(x)$, then $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) \leq A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $\beta_{e_i} = B^+_{e_i}(x)$. This implies that $A^-_{e_i}(x) = \alpha_{e_i} = (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) = \beta_{e_i} = B^+_{e_i}(x)$.

Thus $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x) = (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) = A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ which implies that $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) = B^+_{e_i}(x) = (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x)$. Hence $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x), (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x))$ and $(A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x) \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x)$. If $\alpha_{e_i} = A^+_{e_i}(x)$, then $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$ and so $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) = A^+_{e_i}(x) = (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x)$. Hence $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \notin ((A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x), (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x))$ and $(A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x) \leq (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq (A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^+(x)$. Consequently, we note that $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is both an ECSS and an ICSS in X. □

THEOREM 16. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}\ (x),\ \lambda_{e_i}\ (x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\right\} e_i \in J\right\}$ *are internal cubic soft sets in* X *such that* $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}$ *for all* $e_i \in I$ *for all* $e_i \in J$ *and for all* $x \in X$, *then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *is an ECSS.*

PROOF. Given that $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\right\} e_i \in J\right\}$ are internal cubic soft sets in X.

Thus for all $e_i \in I$, we have $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$, and for all $e_i \in J$ we have $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$.

Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is defined as $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$, where $C = I \cup J$ and

$$\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widetilde{F}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I - J \\ \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in J - I \\ \widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) \text{ If } e_i \in I \cap J, \end{array} \right.$$

where $\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \vee_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < x,\ r\max\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J. \end{array} \right\}$$

Given condition is $(\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) \leq \max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}$ for all $e_i \in I$ for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$.

This implies that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (\lambda_{e_i} \wedge \mu_{e_i})(x) & \notin & ((A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^-(x), (A_{e_i} \cup B_{e_i})^+(x)) \\ & = & (\max\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \max\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}). \end{array}$$

Hence $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cup_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is an ECSS. □

THEOREM 17. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_i\ (x),\ \lambda_{e_i}\ (x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ *and* $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\, e_i \in J\right\}$ *are internal cubic soft sets in* X *such that* $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \geq \min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}$ *for all* $e_i \in I$, *for all* $e_i \in J$ *and for all* $x \in X$. *Then* $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ *is an ECSS in* X.

PROOF. Given that $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) = \left\{\widetilde{F}(e_i) = \{< x,\ A_{e_i}(x),\ \lambda_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in I\right\}$ and $\left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left\{\widetilde{G}(e_i) = \{< x,\ B_{e_i}(x),\ \mu_{e_i}(x) >:\ x \in X\}\ e_i \in J\right\}$ are internal cubic soft sets in X.

Thus for all $e_i \in I$, we have $A^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \lambda_{e_i}(x) \leq A^+_{e_i}(x)$ and for all $e_i \in J$, we have $B^-_{e_i}(x) \leq \mu_{e_i}(x) \leq B^+_{e_i}(x)$.

Since $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is defined as $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right) = \left(\widetilde{H},\, C\right)$ where $C = I \cap J$ and

$\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_i)$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{F}(e_i) \wedge_R \widetilde{G}(e_i) = \left\{\widetilde{H}(e_i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} < x,\ r \min\{A_{e_i}(x), B_{e_i}(x)\}, (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) >: \\ x \in X,\ e_i \in I \cap J. \end{array} \right\}\right\}.$$

Given condition is that $(\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) \geq \min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}$ for all $e_i \in I$, for all $e_i \in J$ and for all $x \in X$.

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_{e_i} \vee \mu_{e_i})(x) &\notin ((A_{e_i} \cap B_{e_i})^-(x), (A \cap B)^+(x)) \\ &= (\min\{A^-_{e_i}(x), B^-_{e_i}(x)\}, \min\{A^+_{e_i}(x), B^+_{e_i}(x)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\left(\widetilde{F},\, I\right) \cap_R \left(\widetilde{G},\, J\right)$ is an ECSS in X. □

4. Conclusion

In order to deal with many complicated problems in the fields of engineering, social science, economics, medical science etc involving uncertainties, classical methods are found to be inadequate in recent times. In 1999 [**7**], Molodstov proposed a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties which is free of the difficulties present theories. He introduced the novel concept of soft sets and established the fundamental results of the new theory. He also showed how soft set theory is free from parameterization inadequacy syndrome of fuzzy set theory, rough set tTheory and probability theory etc. In this paper we discuss a new approach to soft set through applications of cubic set. By combine of cubic set and soft set, we introduce a new mathematical model which is called cubic soft set. We introduce two types of cubic soft set 1) *Internal cubic soft set (ICSS),* 2) *External cubic soft set (ICSS).* We describe P-(R-)order,P-(R-)union, P-(R-)intersection and P-OR, R-OR, P-AND and R-AND are introduced, and related properties are investigated. We show that the P-union and the P-intersection of internal cubic soft sets are also internal cubic soft sets. We provide conditions for the P-union (resp. P-intersection) of two external cubic soft sets to be an internal cubic soft set. We give conditions for the P-union (resp. R-union and R-intersection) of two external cubic soft sets to be an external cubic soft set. We consider conditions for

the R-intersection (resp.P-intersection) of two cubic sof sets to be both an external cubic soft set and an internal cubic soft set.

In future we will focuse on Applications of cubic soft in information sciences and knowledge System. We will also study cubic soft relations. We will apply cubic soft set to algebraic stractures. This work also extended to topological space.

References

[1] B. Ahmad and A. Kharal, On Fuzzy Soft sets, Advances in Fuzzy Systems, Volume 2009.

[2] M. I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Liu, W. K. Min, M. Shabir, On some new operations in soft set theory. Computers and Mathematics with Applications 57(2009), 1547-1553.

[3] D. Chen, E. C. C. Tsang, D. S. Yeung, and X. Wang, The parameterization reduction of soft sets and its applications, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 49(2005), 757-763.

[4] Y. B. Jun, C. S. Kim, K. O. Yang, Cubic sets, Annals of fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 4(2012), 83-98.

[5] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, Fuzzy soft sets, Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 9(2001), 589-602.

[6] P. K. Maji and A. R. Roy, Soft set theory, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 45(2003), 555-562.

[7] D. A. Molodstov, Soft set theory-first results, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 37(1999), 19-31.

[8] D. Pei and D. Miao, From soft sets to information systems, in Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Granular Computing, 2(2005), 617-621.

[9] H. Aktaş, N. Çağman, Soft sets and soft groups. Inform Sci 177, 2007, 2726-2735.

[10] F. Feng, Y. B. Jun, X. Zhao, Soft semirings. Comput Math Appl 56, 2008, 2621-2628.

[11] U. Acar, F. Koyuncu and B. Tanay (2010) Soft sets and soft rings. Comput Math Appl 59: 3458-3463.

[12] Y. B. Jun (2008) Soft BCK/BCI-algebras. Comput Math Appl 56: 1408-1413

[13] Y. B. Jun, C. H. Park, Applications of soft sets in ideal theory of BCK/BCI-algebras. Inform Sci 178, 2466-2475.

[14] Y. B. Jun , K. J. Lee and J. Zhan, Soft p-ideals of soft BCI-algebras. Comput Math Appl 58, 2009, 2060-2068.

[15] A. Sezgin and A. O. Atagün, Soft groups and normalistic soft groups. Comput Math Appl 62, 2, 2011, 685-698.

[16] J. Zhan and Y. B. Jun Soft BL-algebras based on fuzzy sets. Comput. Math. Appl. 59, 6, 2010, 2037-2046.

[17] Ş. O. Kazancı, Yılmaz and S. Yamak, Soft sets and soft BCH-algebras. Hacet J Math Stat 39 (2), (2010), 205-217.

[18] A. Sezgin and A. O. Atagün, E. Aygün, A note on soft nearrings and idealistic soft nearrings. Filomat 25(1), (2011), 53-68.

[19] A. O. Atagün and A. Sezgin Soft substructures of rings, fields and modules. Comput Math Appl 61(3), (2011), 592-601.

[20] A. Sezgin and A. O. Atagün, N. Çağman, Union soft substructures of nearrings and N-groups. Neural Comput. Appl, 2011, DOI: 10.1007/s00521-011-0732-1.

[21] N. Çağman , F. Çıtak , H. Aktaş , Soft intersection-groups and its applications to group theory, Neural Comput. Appl., DOI: 10.1007/s00521-011-0752-x.

[22] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, A. R. Roy, Soft set theory, Comput Math Appl 45, 2003, 555-562.

[23] A. Sezgin, A. O. Atagün, On operations of soft sets, Comput. Math. Appl. 61, 5, 2011, 1457-1467.

[24] A. Sezgin, A new view to ring theory via soft union rings, ideals and bi-ideals, , Knowl. Based Syst. (2012), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2012.04.031.

[25] K. V. Babitha and J. J. Sunil, Soft set relations and functions. Comput Math Appl 60, 7, 2010, 1840-1849.

[26] P. Majumdar and S.K. Samanta, On soft mappings, Comput Math Appl 60(9), (2010), 2666-2672.

[27] N. Çağman and S. Enginoğlu, Soft matrix theory and its decision making. Comput Math Appl 59, (2010), 3308-3314.

[28] N. Çağman and S. Enginoğlu, Soft set theory and uni-intersection decision making. Eur J Oper Res 207, (2010), 848-855.
[29] F. Feng, X.Y. Liu, V. Leoreanu-Fotea, Y. B. Jun, Soft sets and soft rough sets. Inform Sci 181, 6, 2011, 1125-1137.
[30] F. Feng, C. Li, B. Davvaz and M. I. Ali, Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: a tentative approach. Soft Comput 14, 6, (2010), 899-911.
[31] F. Feng, Y. M. Li, V. Leoreanu-Fotea, Application of level soft sets in decision making based on interval-valued fuzzy soft sets, Comput Math Appl 60, (2010), 1756-1767.
[32] F. Feng , Y B. Jun , X.Y. Liu , L F. Li, An adjustable approach to fuzzy soft set based decision making, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 234, (2010), 10-20.
[33] K. Qin, Z. Hong, On soft equality, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 234 (5) (2010), 1347-1355.
[34] P.K. Maji, A.R. Roy, R. Biswas, An application of soft sets in a decision making problem, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 44 (8–9) (2002), 1077–1083.
[35] A.R. Roy, P.K. Maji, A fuzzy soft set theoretic approach to decision making problems, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 203 (2) (2007), 412–418.
[36] Z. Kong, L. Gao, L. Wang, Comment on "A fuzzy soft set theoretic approach to decision making problems", Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 223 (2) (2009), 540–542.
[37] F. Feng, Y.B. Jun, X. Liu, L. Li, An adjustable approach to fuzzy soft set based decision making, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 234 (1) (2010), 10–20.
[38] N. Cagman, S. Enginoğlu, Soft set theory uni-int decision making, European Journal of Operation Research, 207 (2010), 845–855
[39] Z. Xiao, K. Gong, Y. Zou, A combined forecasting approach based on fuzzy soft sets, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 228 (1) (2009), 326–333
[40] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8(1965), 338–353.
[41] L. Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoningI, Inform. Sci. 8(1975), 199–249.

Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad Pakistan
E-mail address: saleemabdullah81@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad Pakistan
E-mail address: imran_maths2002@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad Pakistan
E-mail address: draslamqau@yahoo.com